Quantum dots confined in nanoporous alumina membranes
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CdSe/ZnS core/shell quantum dots (QDs) were filled into porous alumina membranes (PAMs) by dip coating. The deposition of QDs induced changes in the refractive index of the PAMs. The amount of absorbed QDs was quantified by fitting the reflection and transmission spectra observed experimentally with one side open and freestanding (i.e., with two sides open) PAMs employed, respectively. The fluorescence of the QDs was found to be retained within the cylindrical nanopores of the PAMs. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2357877]

Porous alumina membrane (PAM) fabricated by two-step anodic oxidation of aluminum (Al) consists of highly ordered hexagonal arrays of straight, cylindrical nanoparticles. Although PAM was extensively used as a template to synthesize one-dimensional nanostructures with functional electronic characteristics, e.g., nanowires, it has only recently been employed to control optical properties of colloid particles and conjugated polymers and to detect bio-ores would offer a significant advance in controlling the lateral distribution and spacing of QDs, and thus open up an avenue to manipulate optoelectronic properties of QDs in a very simple and controllable manner and to explore the feasibility of utilizing QD/PAM nanocomposites for sensor applications.

Two types of PAMs, produced by using a two-step anodization of 99.99% pure Al foil in 0.3 M oxalic acid at 42 V at 0 °C for 2.5 h, were used in the study. The first type was the one-side-open membrane obtained right after the second anodization. The remaining Al at the bottom of the membrane served as a reflective layer for reflection spectrum measurements. The second was a freestanding membrane (i.e., two sides open) fabricated by removing the remaining Al in saturated copper chloride (CuCl2) solution and performing the barrier layer removal in 5 wt % phosphoric acid at 30 °C for 1 h. The PAMs were thoroughly rinsed with de-ionized water, acetone, and alcohol, and dried.

Two tri-n-octylphosphine oxide (TOPO) functionalized core/shell CdSe/ZnS QDs were prepared according to the well-established procedure. The diameters of the QDs are 4.4 and 5.5 nm, respectively, as determined by transmission electron microscopy (data not shown), corresponding to the growth of two to three atomic layers of ZnS, given the original CdSe diameters of 3.0 and 4.0 nm, respectively. The 4.4 nm QDs are orange emitting with maximum emission, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \), at 598 nm; the 5.5 nm QDs are red emitting with \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at 632 nm.

The deposition of QDs was driven by the capillary force. The PAMs were dipped into 0.5 mg/ml QD chloroform solutions for several seconds and slowly withdrawn normal to the solution surface, and then dried in air for several minutes so that the QDs were trapped within the cylindrical nanopores of the PAMs. Finally, the PAMs were immersed into fresh chloroform for ten times to exhaustively
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rinse off the possible QDs at the PAM surface, and the washed QD-loaded PAMs were dried in air prior to the subsequent characterizations of optical properties.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show surface and cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of a freestanding blank PAM (i.e., unfilled with QDs). The cross-sectional SEM image confirms a hexagonal array of nanoscopic porous structures with a high aspect ratio. The cylindrical nanopores are 60 nm in diameter, and the center-to-center distance $\lambda_{C-C}$ between two adjacent nanopores is 110 nm. The porosity $p$ of the PAMs is $\sim 27\%$ as determined by

$$p = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda_{C-C}} \right)^2,$$

where $r$ is the radius of the nanopores. This geometry provides over two orders of magnitude larger surface areas for depositions of QDs than does a single solid substrate.5 The lateral dimension of the PAMs used in the studies is $\sim 1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$.

The transmission spectra (solid curves) of freestanding PAMs before and after the deposition of CdSe/ZnS core/shell QDs with different sizes [diameter, $D=4.4$ nm in (a) and 5.5 nm in (b)] are shown in Fig. 2. These spectra, measured by UV-Vis spectrometer, exhibit characteristic spectral maxima and minima,2 and can be mathematically described using the transfer matrix formalism5,13 given appropriate refractive indices and incident angle (90°, i.e., normal to the membrane surface). The refractive index is a function of the membrane thickness and porosity. The excellent agreement between the simulated spectra (black dashed curves) and measured spectra (black solid curves) of the blank PAMs for the peak positions [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] yields the thicknesses of freestanding PAMs, which are 8.88 and 13.22 $\mu$m, respectively, using the known refractive indices and taking the porosity of blank PAM as 27% with the film thickness as the only adjustable parameter.5 The fluorescence images of the 13.22 $\mu$m thick PAM before and after being filled with red emitting CdSe/ZnS QDs are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The fluorescence intensity of the PAM after the deposition of QDs is 20 folds higher than a blank counterpart under the same light intensity and exposure time. Moreover, the uniform intensity along the depth of the membrane is clearly evident [Fig. 1(d)].

Upon the deposition of the QDs, the refractive index of the membrane changed. The effective refractive index of the QD-filled cylindrical nanopore of the PAM, $n_{\text{eff,PAM}}$, can be described by the Maxwell-Garnett (MG) effective medium theory.5,14 The general relation that correlates the effective dielectric function of QDs in the nanopore, $\varepsilon_{\text{eff,QD}}$, with the dielectric functions of the QDs, $\varepsilon_{\text{QD}}$, and the air, $\varepsilon_{\text{air}}$, is given by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff,QD}} - \varepsilon_{\text{air}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{eff,QD}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{air}}} = f \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{QD}} - \varepsilon_{\text{air}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{QD}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{air}}},$$

where $f$ is the volume fraction of the QDs in the nanopore.5,14,15 Since the refractive indices $n$ and absorption coefficients $k$ of CdSe and ZnS as a function of wavelength are known,16 the corresponding dielectric function $\varepsilon_{\text{QD}}$ can be calculated based on the relation of $\varepsilon = (n+ik)^2$. Here it should be noted that the refractive index of QDs is obtained as follows.17 The QDs are considered as spherical particles with a CdSe core and two coating layers (i.e., ZnS and TOP). The volume ratios of CdSe to ZnS in the core/shell structure are 4/6 for red emitting ($D=5.5$ nm) and 3/7 for orange emitting ($D=4.4$ nm) QDs, respectively. The contribution from the stabilizing ligand, TOPO, is neglected in the calculation for the following reasons. First, the number of TOPO chains covering each QD was much less than 100.18 Therefore, only a very thin layer of organic compound covered the surface of the QDs. Secondly, the refractive index of TOPO is close to that of air and much smaller than those of inorganic compounds (i.e., CdSe and ZnS). Thus, the average refractive index of QDs is calculated from the volume ratio of CdSe and ZnS. The effective refractive index of the membrane, $n_{\text{eff,PAM}}$, after the loading of QDs can be written as

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff,PAM}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}}{\varepsilon_{\text{eff,PAM}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}} = p \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{QD}} - \varepsilon_{\text{air}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{QD}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{air}}} + \frac{n_{\text{QD}} - \varepsilon_{\text{air}}}{n_{\text{QD}} + 2\varepsilon_{\text{air}}},$$

where $\varepsilon_{\text{QD}} = \varepsilon_{\text{eff,QD}}$ in Eq. (2), $\varepsilon_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$ is the dielectric function of insulating alumina, and $p$ is the porosity of blank PAM ($p=27\%$). Similar to the calculation of $\varepsilon_{\text{QD}}$ above, $\varepsilon_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$ is obtained given the known $n$ and $k$ of alumina.19

Subsequently, the $n_{\text{eff,PAM}}$ obtained from $\varepsilon_{\text{eff,PAM}} = (n_{\text{eff,PAM}} + ik_{\text{eff,PAM}})^2$ is substituted into the transfer matrix formalism5,13 with the adjustable $f$ (i.e., the volume percentage of QDs deposited in the nanopores of the PAMs). The iterative calculations by combining Eqs. (2) and (3) are performed until best fits (blue dashed curves in Fig. 2) with experimental data (blue solid curves) are reached so that the values of $f$ are attained.

Upon the deposition of the QDs, the transmission spectra blueshifted relative to the blank PAMs (Fig. 2). By fitting the experimental spectra (i.e., blue solid curves in Fig. 2), the uptake of QDs within the cylindrical nanopores achieved by...
dip coating were determined to be \( f = 3\% \) for orange emitting QDs in the 8.8 \( \mu \)m thick PAM and \( f = 3.5\% \) for red emitting QDs in the 13.22 \( \mu \)m thick PAM. Thus, it is clear that the QDs only covered a very small volume of the nanopores.

Redshifts of the reflection spectra were observed upon the depositions of the QDs when one-side-open PAMs were employed (solid curves in Fig. 3). This phase difference is well known to be induced by the change of refractive index of the medium in the reflectivity measurements.\(^5\) The angle of incidence of the UV-Vis to the membrane surface was 22.5° in the study. A 10 nm solid \( \mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \) barrier layer known to be formed during anodization was included in the calculation of the reflection spectra.\(^5\) Similar to the calculations of the transmission spectra, the thickness of the PAM and the amount \( f \) of QDs in the PAM can be determined using the transfer matrix formalism, yielding \( f = 2.2\% \) in the 11.44 \( \mu \)m thick PAM occupied by the orange emitting QDs and \( f = 2.8\% \) in the 9.45 \( \mu \)m thick PAM occupied by the red emitting QDs. It is noteworthy that the numbers of QDs loaded in one-side-open PAMs are smaller than those in the freestanding PAMs. This is due to the fact that it is much more difficult for QD chloroform solutions, driven by the capillary force, to reach the closed end of the one-side-open PAM. In contrast, the freestanding PAMs can be readily accessed by QD solutions from both sides.

After loading of the QDs within the nanopores of the PAM was confirmed, the fluorescence spectra of the PAMs before and after the deposition of the QDs were measured. The PAM exhibited blue emissions in the 400–600 nm range with a broad peak position at 420–468 nm (dashed curves in Fig. 4). These were attributed to the coactions of both the singly ionized oxygen vacancies and the luminescent centers transformed from oxalic impurities.\(^{15,20}\) The emission spectra of the filled PAMs showed \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) at 602 and 632 nm, respectively. Accordingly, it is evident that the emission of the QDs was retained. The emission of the orange emitting QDs was slightly redshifted by \( \sim 4 \) nm relative to the dry-state QDs in bulk [Fig. 4(a)]. This probably results from a slight aggregation of QDs within the nanopores. However, no shift was observed for the red emitting QDs upon confinement [Fig. 4(b)].

In conclusion, we have described the optical properties of CdSe/ZnS core/shell QDs in a hexagonal array of highly ordered cylindrical nanopores of the PAMs by a simple dip-coating method. The PAM thickness and the amount of deposited QDs were determined from both transmission and reflection spectra by modeling the changes in the UV-Vis spectra. The QD-filled PAMs were found to be highly fluorescent; their fluorescence was maintained within the cylindrical nanopores. We envision that the present study may provide some insights into optimizing nanostructured materials by spatially arranging nanoscopic elements in a well-controlled fashion for use in optoelectronic devices and biosensors.
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